

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,873	10/21/2005		Włodek Kurjanowicz	PAT 2295W-2	1035	
Shin Hung	7590	09/25/2007		EXAMINER		
Borden Ladne			SEFER, AHMED N			
World Exchan 1100-100 Que			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Ottawa, ON K			•	2826	•	
CANADA				<u> </u>		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	Office Action Comments	10/553,873	KURJANOWICZ,	KURJANOWICZ, WLODEK				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		A. Sefer	2826					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status		;						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·					
2a)□	•	 s action is non-final.						
,	•—		tters prosecution as to th	a marite is				
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diamasid			2. 11, 100 0.0.210.	. 1				
	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-29}}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	·						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
• =	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
٠,١	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	<u> </u>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 0	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	received.	•				
		•						
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, 23-29, drawn to an anti-fuse transistor.

Group II, claim(s) 15-22, drawn to a method of forming a gate oxide for an anti-fuse transistor.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. In the instant case a materially different process that does not include a step of removing the intermediate oxide from a thin oxide region could make the device of claim 1.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,873

Art Unit: 2826

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1921.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571) 272-1236.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 2826

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANS September 16, 2007

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2826